IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) Case Number 8:12MJ84	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.) DETENTION ORDER)	
CONRADO GARCIA-ALVAREZ,))	
Defendant.	,	
A. Order For Detention After the defendant waived a detention the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders to pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (iii)		
conditions will reasonably assur required. X By clear and convincing evidence.		
that which was contained in the Pretria X (1) Nature and circumstances of the crime: Conspira of the crime: Conspira of the carries a maximum of the crime of the crime of the crime of the carries a maximum of the crime of the	cy to distribute 500 grams or more of cocaine, and marijuana is a serious crime and benalty of Life imprisonment. The of violence.	
X (3) The history and characteris (a) General Factors: The defenda may affect v The defendaX The defendaX The defenda	against the defendant is high. stics of the defendant including: ant appears to have a mental condition which whether the defendant will appear. ant has no family ties in the area. ant has no steady employment. ant has no substantial financial resources. ant is not a long time resident of the	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		community. The defendant does not have any significant community ties. Past conduct of the defendant:
	(b)	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
		Probation
		 Parole Supervised Release Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c)	Other Factors:
		X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		deportation The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
		deportation if convicted.
		X The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
		Other:
, ,	releas	ture and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's are as follows: deportation.
, ,	releas	e are as follows:
, ,	releas	e are as follows:
, ,	releas	e are as follows:
X (5)	releas Prio Rebut	are as follows: deportation. able Presumptions
X (5)	Prio Rebut	are as follows: deportation. able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
X_ (5)	Rebut In deterelied	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C.
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court
X (5)	Rebut In deterelied § 3142	able Presumptions rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or

		(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
X_ (b)	That no	con	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably
	assure t	he a	appearance of the defendant as required and the
	safety of	f the	community because the Court finds that there is
	probable cause to believe:		
	X	(1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled
		` ,	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
			10 years or more.
		(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under
		` ,	18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during
			and in relation to any crime of violence, including a
			crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced
			punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or
			dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED this 2nd day of April, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge